Case	Case 2:17-bk-20125-RK Doc 87 Filed 10/30/17 Entered 10/30/17 11:27:57 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 3		
1	TODD M. ARNOLD (SBN 221868)		
2	LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER, YOO & BRILL LLP 10250 Constellation Blvd., Suite 1700  FILED & ENTERED		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 229-1234		
4	Fax: (310) 229-1244 Email: tma@lnbyb.com	OCT 30 2017	
5	Attorneys for Debtor and Debtor in Possession	CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT Central District of California	
6	Attorneys for Debtor and Debtor in Possession	BY bakchell DEPUTY CLERK	
7	NOT FOR PUBLICATION S MADE BY COURT		
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	LOS ANGELES DIVISION		
11			
12 13	In re:	Case No.: 2:17-bk-20125-RK	
13	GRAND VIEW FINANCIAL, LLC,	Chapter 11 Case	
15	Debtor and Debtor in Possession.	Chapter 11 Case	
16	Debtor and Debtor in Possession.	ORDER RE: APPLICATION OF DEBTOR	
17		AND DEBTOR IN POSSESSION TO EMPLOY LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER,	
18		YOO & BRILL L.L.P. AS BANKRUPTCY COUNSEL PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C §	
19		327(a), WITH COMPENSATION DETERMINED PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C.	
20		§§ 330 AND 331	
21		Hearing:	
22		DATE: October 25, 2017	
23		TIME: 11:00 a.m. PLACE: Courtroom 1675	
24		255 E. Temple St. Los Angeles, CA 90012	
25			
26	//		
27			
28			
		1	
		1	

## Case 2:17-bk-20125-RK Doc 87 Filed 10/30/17 Entered 10/30/17 11:27:57 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 3

A hearing was held at the above-referenced date, time, and location to consider the Application Of Debtor And Debtor In Possession To Employ Levene, Neale, Bender, Yoo & Brill L.L.P. ["LNBYB"] As Bankruptcy Counsel Pursuant To 11 U.S.C § 327(a), With Compensation Determined Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 330 And 331 (the "Application") [Dkt. 12] filed by Grand View Financial, LLC, the debtor and debtor in possession herein (the "Debtor"). Appearances were made as set forth on the record of the Court.

Upon consideration of the Application, notice of the application [Dkt. 13], the supplemental disclosure declaration in support of the Application [Dkt. 36], the objection to the Application (the "Objection") [Dkt. 39] filed by the United States Trustee, the Debtor's reply to the Objection [Dkt. 63], the record in this case, and the arguments and comments of counsel at the hearing on the Application, and further based on the Court's tentative ruling, which is attached hereto,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- (1) The Objection is hereby overruled;
- (2) The Application is hereby approved in its entirety; and
- (3) The Debtor's employment of LNBYB as its bankruptcy counsel, at the expense of the Debtor's estate, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 327(a), with compensation determined pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 330 and 331, and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Application, is hereby approved, effective as of the petition date of August 17, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

###

ate: October 30, 2017

Robert Kwan

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Case 2:17-bk-20125-RK Doc 87 Filed 10/30/17 Entered 10/30/17 11:27:57 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 3

## **TENTATIVE RULING**

The court is inclined to overrule the objection of the United States Trustee and approve the application of employment of Levine Neale Bender Yoo & Brill, LLP, as general bankruptcy counsel for debtor in possession because the evidence does not indicate that the firm holds an adverse interest to the bankruptcy estate or that the firm is not a disinterested party within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. 101(14) simply for the reason that three "former owners", who may be creditors or tenants of debtor, made a prepetition loan to debtor to fund the firm's retainer. The firm is not a creditor of the estate, having not represented it prior to the representation, and did not and do not represent any of these former owner creditors. The firm was retained and paid by debtor, not the former owner creditors, and it does not appear that there is a third party payor situation as in In re Hathaway Ranch Partnership, 116 B.R. 208 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1990) which involved direct payments by the third party payor to the firm. There is no evidence indicating that the former owner creditors who lent the funds to debtor to pay the firm the retainer have any control or influence over the representation by the firm as counsel for debtor or that the firm has any obligation to the former owner creditors based on their loan to debtor (i.e., nothing in the fee agreement between the firm and debtor indicates any such obligation). Otherwise, it appears retention of the firm is within the reasonable business judgment of debtor to employ the firm as its general bankruptcy counsel under 11 U.S.C. 327. Appearances are required on 10/25/17, but counsel may appear by telephone.

## **END OF TENTATIVE RULING**

1

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28